REMARKS

The Examiner's allowance of Claims 12 – 36 and 49, and his indication of the allowability of Claims 3 and 4 if rewritten to include the limitations of their base and any intervening claims are noted with appreciation.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of allowable Claim 3, and Claim 3 has been cancelled. This amendment overcomes the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 USC §101 for double patenting, and also overcomes the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 USC §102(e) as anticipated by Gentieu et al. Claim 1 has also been further amended in minor respects for clarity by inserting the modifiers "first" and "second" before the terms "packet". Accordingly, Claim 1 is now deemed to be allowable.

Claim 4 has been likewise been amended to change its dependency from Claim 3 to Claim 1, rendering Claim 4 allowable.

Claims 2 and 5-10 depend directly or indirectly from Claim 1, and are also now deemed to be allowable.

Finally, rejected Claim 11 has been cancelled; and Claims 37-48 which were withdrawn from consideration have also been cancelled to place the application in condition for allowance.

In view of these amendments, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance, and allowance of all claims is solicited.

Attorney Docket No. E003-1001US0

If, upon consideration of this Amendment and outstanding issues remain, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned so that they may be resolved.

Dated: February 5, 2007 Respectfully Submitted,

/Barry N. Young/

Barry N. Young Attorney for Assignee Reg. No. 27,744

Customer No. 48789 Law Offices of Barry N. Young Court House Plaza, Suite 410 260 Sheridan Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94306-2047

Phone: (650) 326-2701 Fax: (650) 326-2799 byoung@young-iplaw.com